## Case 2:20-cr-00221-WBS Document 20 Filed 06/16/21 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT Acting United States Attorney BRIAN A. FOGERTY Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:20-CR-221 WBS
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDE TIME
12	v.	UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
13	ARTURO PACHECO,	DATE: June 21, 2021 TIME: 9:00 a.m.
14	Defendant.	COURT: Hon. William B. Shubb
15		
16	The United States of America, by and through Assistant U.S. Attorney Brian A. Fogerty, and	
17	defendant Arturo Pacheco, by and through his counsel David W. Dratman, hereby agree and stipulate as	
18	follows:	
19	1. On November 19, 2020, a grand jury returned a four-count indictment, charging Pacheco	
20	with two counts of deprivation of rights under color of law, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 242, and two	
21	counts of falsification of records in a federal investigation, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1519. ECF No. 1.	
22	2. On November 20, 2020, Pacheco appeared before the Honorable Carolyn K. Delaney, at	
23	which hearing the court set this matter for a status conference and excluded the time between November	
24	20, 2020, and January 12, 2021, from the computation of time in which trial must commence under the	
25	Speedy Trial Act. ECF No. 5. The case was subsequently reassigned to this Court, and by subsequent	
		0

discovery, including several hundred pages of documents and audio recordings. Counsel for the

order the Court excluded time and set this case for a status conference on June 21, 2021. ECF No. 18.

1

Since the initiation of the charges against the defendant, the government has produced

3.

26

27

28

## Case 2:20-cr-00221-WBS Document 20 Filed 06/16/21 Page 2 of 3

defendant needs time to investigate the allegations underlying the charges in the indictment, examine the 1 2 evidence, confer with his client, and prepare for trial while also handling other matters in federal and state court. 3 4. 4 Based on the foregoing facts, the parties request that the Court vacate the June 21, 2021, 5 status conference and reset the case for a status conference to be held on August 30, 2021, at 9:00 a.m. The parties further agree and request that the Court exclude the time between June 21, 2021, and August 6 7 30, 2021, from the computation of time in which trial must commence under the Speedy Trial Act, 8 pursuant to Local Code T-4. The parties agree that the interests of justice served by excluding the time 9 between June 21, 2021, and August 30, 2021, under the Speedy Trial Act, outweigh the best interests of 10 the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The parties request that the Court adopt the facts set forth 11 herein and order time excluded from June 21, 2021, to and including August 30, 2021, pursuant to 18 12 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), and Local Code T-4, to allow defense counsel to investigate and 13 prepare for trial. 14 Dated: June 16, 2021 PHILLIP A. TALBERT 15 Acting United States Attorney 16 By: /s/ BRIAN A. FOGERTY 17 **BRIAN A. FOGERTY Assistant United States Attorney** 18 19 Dated: June 16, 2021 /s/ DAVID W. DRATMAN 20 DAVID W. DRATMAN Counsel for Defendant 21 Arturo Pacheco 22 23 24 25

26

27

28

## Case 2:20-cr-00221-WBS Document 20 Filed 06/16/21 Page 3 of 3

**ORDER** 

1

2 The Court, having received, read, and considered the parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefrom, adopts the parties' stipulation in its entirety as its order. The Court vacates the June 21, 2021, status conference and resets the matter for a status conference on August 30, 2021, at 9:00 a.m. The Court also specifically finds that based on the facts set forth in the parties' stipulation, the failure to exclude the time between June 21, 2021, and August 30, 2021, would deny counsel reasonable 6 time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Time from June 21, 2021, to and including August 30, 2021, is excluded from the computation of time within which the trial of this case must commence under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), and Local Code T-4. Moreover, the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic has led to the suspension of jury trials in this district since March 17, 2020, and the General Orders of this court issued in connection with the pandemic allow for continuances and the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), with no further findings required. General Orders 611, 612, 617, and 618. Additionally, the April 16, 2020 Order of the Judicial Council of the Ninth Circuit suspended the time limits of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(c) due 16 to a judicial emergency in this district until May 2, 2021. See In re Approval of the Judicial Emergency Decl. in the E. Dist. of Cal., 956 F.3d 1175 (9th Cir. Judicial Council 2020). 19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 16, 2021

VILLIAM B. SHUBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Cliam Is Shibt

23

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28